

**ORMOND BEACH CITY COMMISSION MEETING
HELD AT CITY HALL COMMISSION CHAMBERS**

May 18, 2004 7:00 p.m.

Present were: Mayor Fred Costello, Commissioners Jeff Boyle, Troy Kent, Scott Selis, and Bill Partington, City Manager Isaac Turner, Assistant City Manager Theodore MacLeod, City Attorney Randy Hayes, and City Clerk Veronica Patterson.

A G E N D A

- 1) Meeting call to order by Mayor Costello.
- 2) Invocation by Reverend Gary Powell, Oasis Christ Fellowship.
- 3) Pledge of Allegiance.
- 4) Approval of the Minutes of the May 4, 2004, meeting.
- 5) **PRESENTATION:** Proclamation by Mayor Costello proclaiming May 26, 2004, as National Senior Health & Fitness Day in the City of Ormond Beach.
- 6) **AUDIENCE REMARKS:**

7) **INTERGOVERNMENTAL BOARD REPORTS:**

- A) Metropolitan Planning Organization
- B) Volusia Council of Governments
- C) Water Authority of Volusia

8) **CONSENT AGENDA:** The action proposed is stated for each item on the Consent Agenda. Unless a City Commissioner removes an item from the Consent Agenda, no discussion on individual items will occur and a single motion will approve all items.

- A) Resolution No. 2004-86 authorizing the acceptance of a sanitary sewer line easement from Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., on property located within the “Wal-Mart Supercenter” Planned Business Development located at 1521 West Granada Boulevard.
- B) Resolution No. 2004-87 appointing members to serve on the Budget Advisory Board; setting forth terms and conditions of service. (Bill Olivari, Randy Brewer, Richard Lovell, Pete Klironomos and John Leemkuil)
- C) Resolution No. 2004-88 authorizing the approval of a requisition and payment therefor for the purchase of one heavy-duty truck mounted sewer cleaner under State of Florida Contract Number P0384. (Southern Sewer Equipment Sales - \$201,148)
- D) Resolution No. 2004-89 accepting a bid from Municipal Contracting, Inc., for Lift Stations 1P, 5P and BT1 rehabilitation and improvements under Bid No. 2004-19; authorizing the execution of a contract and payment therefor; rejecting all other bids. (\$476,108)
- E) Resolution No. 2004-90 authorizing the execution of Change Order Number One (1) to that contract awarded to Masci Corporation regarding the Standish Drive, Triton Road and Royal Palm Avenue Drainage Improvements project; by increasing the contract price by \$52,875 and increasing the contract time by thirty (30) days. (Total Contract - \$1,102,486 - 270 days)
- F) Resolution No. 2004-91 authorizing the execution of a contract between Andre L. Muller and Helene A. Muller and the City of Ormond Beach for the purchase of Lot E, a vacant, unbuildable lot, located south of Wilmette Avenue, to be used for stormwater purposes. (\$5,000)
- G) Resolution No. 2004-92 authorizing the execution of a letter approving the distribution of \$360,395 of Fiscal Year 2004-2005 Federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act funds within Volusia County.
- H) Approval of travel by City Attorney Randy Hayes to attend the Annual Florida Municipal Attorneys Seminar July 15-17, 2004, in Marco Island, Florida.

DISPOSITION: Approve as recommended in City Attorney memorandum dated May 13, 2004.

9) **PUBLIC HEARING** regarding a Planned Residential Development to be known as “Pineland” to be located on a 164.5 acre site located north of Airport Road, east of I-95, south of the City’s business park and west of the Ormond Green subdivision.

10) **FIRST READING OF ORDINANCES:**

- A) Ordinance No. 2004-17 of the City of Ormond Beach, Florida, relative to the General Employees’ Pension Plan, amending Article I, In General, of Chapter 16, Pensions and Retirement, of the Code of Ordinances; amending Section 16-4, Finances and Fund Management; deleting Section 16-21.3, Credit for Prior Service; amending Section 16-21.6, Military Service Prior to Employment; amending Section 16-21.7, Prior Government Service; providing for severability of provisions.
- B) Amendments to the Firefighters’ and Police Officers’ Pension Plans:
 - 1) Ordinance No. 2004-18 of the City of Ormond Beach, Florida, amending Article III, Firefighters’ Pension Trust Fund, of Chapter 16, Pensions and Retirement, of the Code of Ordinances; amending Section 16-43, Finances and Fund Management.

- 2) Ordinance No. 2004-19 of the City of Ormond Beach, Florida, amending Article IV, Police Officers' Pension Trust fund, of Chapter 16, Pensions and Retirement, of the Code of Ordinances; amending Section 16-74, Finances and Fund Management.

11) **DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

- A) Extension of runway 17-35 at the Ormond Beach Airport.
- B) Leasing of future hangars at the Ormond Beach Airport.
- C) WAV/Ormond Beach water plant expansion.
- D) Status of Fire Station #91 issues.
- E) Update on Ormond/Daytona utility service area issues

- 12) **REPORTS, SUGGESTIONS, REQUESTS:** Mayor, City Commission, City Manager, City Attorney.

- 13) Close the meeting.

Item #1 - Call to Order

Mayor Costello called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Item #2 - Invocation

Reverend Gary Powell, Oasis Christ Fellowship gave the invocation.

Item #3 - Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Costello led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Item #4 – Approval of Minutes

Mayor Costello advised the minutes of the May 4, 2004, regular meeting had been sent to the Commissioners for review and asked if there were any corrections, additions, or omissions.

Mayor Costello asked that the following correction be made on page 14256: "Mayor Costello noted he was blessed with an active practice where he sees many people each day, and 25% of those people express their opinion on some issue and approximately 40% 100% have indicated they were pleased with what had been occurring in Ormond Beach."

Mayor Costello asked if there was any objection to approving the minutes as amended. Hearing none, he declared the minutes approved as amended.

Item #5(B) – National Senior Health & Fitness Day Proclamation

Nancy Hogle and Siobhan Daly accepted the proclamation designating May as Older Americans Month and National Physical Fitness and Sports Month honoring mature citizens for their many contributions to the vitality and strength of the community. Mayor Costello proclaimed May 26, 2004, as National Senior Health & Fitness Day in the City of Ormond Beach and urged all residents to support the local efforts of local organizations that encourage older adults to enhance their lives through physical activity.

Item #6 - Audience Remarks

Airport Issues

Mr. Adrian Thompson, 4 Pine Look Pass, questioned why a commercial business was fronting as a City operation and pointed out that the Ormond Beach Municipal Airport posted its address and website in the Aircraft Owners Pilots Association (AOPA) Directory using a commercial business. He advised he was removed by the Aviation Advisory Board because of conflict of interest, but there was a potential conflict of interest in another board member, and this should be investigated and reported.

Mr. Thompson advised he brought a number of issues to the Commission's attention two weeks ago, and his business was inspected within 36 hours of his report. He stated he was re-inspected yesterday morning by the City Fire Department, which he considered a form of harassment. Mr. Thompson requested copies of all reports for inspections of all businesses at the airport carried out within the last three months. He stated Mayor Costello informed him these allegations would be investigated within the next 60 days; however, this was totally unacceptable, and he questioned what progress had been made in the past two weeks. Mr. Thompson advised he conducted his own investigation on two of the issues, which took him ten minutes.

Mr. Thompson stated that relative to the 10,000-gallon fuel truck, the City ordinance stated: "store fuel in above-ground tanks to provide and maintain an adequate supply for each grade of aviation fuel." He pointed out Sunrise Aviation has no above ground storage, which was a breach of City code, and he questioned why nothing was being done about this matter. Mr. Thompson noted it was not possible to have grandfathered these rights. He stated there was a lease granted to Commander Aviation on December 2, 1983, subsequently transferred to M.A.C. Charter, which stated: "Commander shall comply with all Federal, State, and City laws, ordinance, regulation, and rules as applicable to leased premises as are currently in existence and may be hereafter adopted by agreement by the parties." Mr. Thompson advised Commander was in violation of City ordinance, and it was clear the City must serve them notice. He pointed out the City had established an exclusive right by allowing this, which was contrary to FAA policy; and if the City would allow this to continue, the City would be in breach of agency policy causing the City to be forced to forfeit federal grant funds.

Mr. Thompson stated it took him one phone call to investigate the fuel flow fee. He reported the City Clerk advised him that a statement was not sent; Sunrise merely sent a check. Mr. Thompson stated this indicated there was a lack of proper accounting, which was in breach of covenant clause 5D of the lease making it impossible for the City to carry out what was required of the lease. He asked if the City would be asking that an independent audit of the accounts of Sunrise be carried out, and if not, why not. He questioned if the City would give notice to Sunrise Aviation in accordance with Clause 20 of the lease; if the City would pursue Clause 21, "Remedy for Breach," and Clause 22, "Failure to Remedy Breach;" and why the Finance Department did not check the money coming into the City.

Mayor Costello reiterated staff would provide those answers as soon as possible.

Parks and Recreation in Ormond Beach

Ms. Joyce High, 38 Oakbrook Drive, reported she attended a joint meeting last night of the Quality of Life Advisory Board and Recreation Advisory Board where a telephone survey was discussed that would be conducted by Woods and Partners in the month of June to help make decisions on what parks and recreation should be in Ormond Beach in the next 20 years. She urged the Commission and audience to tell their friends that if a resident should receive a phone call from Woods and Partners, that they should answer the questions cooperatively because this was a legitimate survey that would be important to the future of Ormond Beach parks.

Item #7(A) – Metropolitan Planning Organization

Mayor Costello invited the Commission and audience to a presentation relative to the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) after the next MPO meeting at approximately 9:15 p.m. or 9:30 p.m., where representatives from the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration would be in attendance.

Item #7(B) – Volusia Council of Governments

Mayor Costello reported that at the next Volusia Council of Governments (VCOG) meeting, a presentation would be given relative to "myregion.org" where seven Central Florida counties combined their efforts to cooperate on issues that benefit everyone. He reported that also slated for this meeting was a continued discussion on the fireworks ordinance, code enforcement updates, fire related issues, and urban growth boundary discussions.

Commissioner Boyle thanked Mayor Costello for his leadership on urban growth boundaries.

Item #7(C) – Water Authority of Volusia

Commissioner Boyle advised the Water Authority of Volusia (WAV) board meeting would be held at 8:30 a.m. tomorrow. He reported that at the workshop of May 5th, WAV discussed the following funding options: a sales tax that was tentatively rejected at this time, a user fee, an ad valorem tax on all property owners, and impact fees of approximately \$2,000 per home.

Commissioner Boyle reported no final decisions were made. He recommended adding an agenda item where the Commission would have the opportunity to relay any opinions it may have on this issue. Commissioner Boyle noted Dr. Bruce Mowry, Executive Director, indicated that what was actually needed was a combination of all four options. He stated short-term and long-term funding was needed.

Commissioner Boyle reported he joined the consensus relative to short to mid-term operating funding in that each City would be free to use its own discretion on how to fund its member assessment.

Commissioner Boyle praised Ormond Beach staff for obtaining the Consumptive Use Permit (CUP) and the staff report received from St. Johns River Water Management District commending Ormond Beach for what it was doing to conserve its water resources. He noted St. Johns River Water Management District provided nearly all the water the City requested, which was a great tribute to the Ormond Beach staff, particularly Assistant City Manager Ted MacLeod, Public Works Director/City Engineer Judy Sloane, Utilities Director Tim Sheahan, and all of the people who quietly did all of the right things for this City without a great deal of notice or appreciation by the City Commission. Commissioner Boyle apologized for the newspaper article because Ormond Beach was joined with Daytona Beach in its headline, "Cities Get Water Use Warning," when the warning was not for Ormond Beach.

Commissioner Boyle advised that Dr. Bruce Mowry, Executive Director, was in the audience if the Commission had any questions for him at this time or when Item #11(C) was being discussed.

Mayor Costello advised previous Commissions were also to be commended for Ormond Beach receiving its CUP.

Mayor Costello reported for the benefit of anyone in the audience who had come to discuss Item #9, the proposed Pineland development item was withdrawn and would not be discussed this evening.

Item #8 – Consent Agenda

Mayor Costello advised that the action proposed for each item on the Consent Agenda was so stated on the agenda. He asked if any member of the Commission had questions or wished to discuss any one or more of the items separately.

Commissioner Boyle asked that Items #8(B), #8(C), and #8(G) be discussed.

Commissioner Kent asked that Item #8(D), #8(E), and #8(H) be discussed.

Commissioner Selis moved, seconded by Commissioner Kent, for approval of Item #8(A) and #9(F) of the Consent Agenda.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Boyle	Yes
	Commissioner Kent	Yes
	Commissioner Selis	Yes
	Commissioner Partington	Yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	Yes

Item #8(B) – Appointing Members to the Budget Advisory Board

RESOLUTION NO. 2004-87

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING MEMBERS TO SERVE ON THE BUDGET ADVISORY BOARD; SETTING FORTH TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE; AND SETTING FORTH AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Commissioner Selis moved, seconded by Commissioner Kent, to approve Resolution No. 2004-87, as read by title only.

Commissioner Boyle stated Mayor Costello indicated his appointment did not wish to serve on a permanent board, and he noted he had already missed four meetings.

Mayor Costello explained that his appointment and his appointment's wife had medical issues that it was hoped had been resolved, and he was now looking forward to serving on the board.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #8(C) – Purchase of a Heavy-duty Truck Mounted Sewer Cleaner

RESOLUTION NO. 2004-88

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROVAL OF A REQUISITION AND PAYMENT THEREFOR FOR THE PURCHASE OF ONE HEAVY-DUTY TRUCK MOUNTED SEWER CLEANER UNDER STATE OF FLORIDA CONTRACT NUMBER P0384; AND SETTING FORTH AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Commissioner Partington moved, seconded by Commissioner Selis, to approve Resolution No. 2004-88, as read by title only.

Commissioner Boyle asked how often this sewer cleaner equipment was used.

Ms. Judy Sloane, Public Works Director/City Engineer, reported this piece of equipment was used weekly when in operation; however, it has maintenance issues since it was used so frequently. She advised this second piece of equipment would remedy some of this problem.

Commissioner Boyle questioned if this expenditure was in the 2003-04 fiscal year budget; whereby, Ms. Sloane reported it was in the budget for the equipment as well as the personnel to operate the equipment.

Commissioner Kent asked how old the existing piece of equipment was and what kind of warranty it had.

Ms. Sloane advised she was unsure of the age of the equipment. She noted this contract called for a five-year or 100,000 mile warranty, which would probably be similar to that of the existing truck; however, at times the City purchased extended warranties because this type of equipment would best be maintained by the manufacturer. Ms. Sloane noted when the equipment needed maintenance, it had to be sent out of town for repairs, leaving the City short that equipment.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
	Commissioner Kent	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #8(D) – Lift Stations 1P, 5P and BT1 Rehabilitation and Improvements

RESOLUTION NO. 2004-89

A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING A BID FROM MUNICIPAL CONTRACTING, INC., FOR LIFT STATIONS 1P, 5P AND BT1 REHABILITATION AND IMPROVEMENTS UNDER BID NO. 2004-19; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT AND PAYMENT THEREFOR; REJECTING ALL OTHER BIDS; AND SETTING FORTH AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Commissioner Partington moved, seconded by Commissioner Boyle, to approve Resolution No. 2004-89, as read by title only.

Commissioner Kent stated there was an additional cost of \$50,000 because lift station 5P on Riverside Drive was above ground. He asked how the lift station appeared now and how it would appear when it was completed.

Ms. Sloane stated this would be similar to the Alden Road lift station. She noted landscaping and enhancements would be done around the lift station. Ms. Sloane noted a problem in constructing an underground lift station in some areas was that the requirements call for a larger footprint; and considering all of the other existing utilities in some of the older developed areas, it was difficult to find adequate room to accomplish what was needed. She noted staff was taking the extra effort to minimize the residential impact by beautifying the area.

Commissioner Kent stated that he assumed the residents had no objections; whereby, Ms. Sloane noted that to her knowledge, that was correct.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #8(E) – Standish Drive, Triton Road and Royal Palm Avenue Drainage Improvements

RESOLUTION NO. 2004-90

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF CHANGE ORDER NUMBER ONE (1) TO THAT CONTRACT AWARDED TO MASCI CORPORATION REGARDING THE STANDISH DRIVE, TRITON ROAD AND ROYAL PALM AVENUE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT; BY INCREASING THE CONTRACT PRICE BY \$52,875 AND INCREASING THE CONTRACT TIME BY THIRTY (30) DAYS; AND SETTING FORTH AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Commissioner Partington moved, seconded by Commissioner Boyle, to approve Resolution No. 2004-90, as read by title only.

Commissioner Kent stated he noticed the reference to the asbestos water mains, and he questioned how many more asbestos water mains existed in Ormond Beach.

Ms. Sloane explained that 30 years ago asbestos was the pipe of choice, and anything developed in that timeframe probably had asbestos. She advised the City has been routinely replacing these pipes as these major projects are being completed. Ms. Sloane noted the asbestos pipes are not a problem as long as there was no activity around the pipe; however, when construction or a repair was needed, the problems arise. She stated there was no regulation indicating the City must replace all of the asbestos pipes.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Boyle	yes
	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #8(G) – Distribution of Federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act Funds

RESOLUTION NO. 2004-92

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A LETTER APPROVING THE DISTRIBUTION OF \$360,395 OF FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005 FEDERAL ANTI-DRUG ABUSE ACT FUNDS WITHIN VOLUSIA COUNTY; AND SETTING FORTH AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Commissioner Partington moved, seconded by Commissioner Kent, to approve Resolution No. 2004-92, as read by title only.

Commissioner Boyle stated the Commission was being asked to approve the distribution of money that the City would not receive and the County would get over half of this money. He questioned if Ormond Beach would ever participate in the allocation of these funds.

Mr. Tom Lipps, Support Services Director, advised Ormond Beach participated earlier. He noted the City maxed out its participation in FY 2001-02.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #8(H) – Annual Florida Municipal Attorneys Association Seminar

Commissioner Kent stated he hoped the City Attorney would enjoy the conference, and he was impressed by the number of sessions available.

Mr. Randal Hayes, City Attorney, advised this number was fairly standard for this type of conference.

Mayor Costello asked for the vote to be called to approve the City Attorney’s travel.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
	Commissioner Kent	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #9 - Pineland

Mayor Costello advised that the applicant had withdrawn this item.

Mr. Clay Ervin, Planning Director, reported the applicant has now made a reapplication for the exact same project; therefore, it would go back through the process again.

Item #10(A) - General Employees’ Pension Plan Amendments

ORDINANCE NO. 2004-17
 AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ORMOND BEACH, FLORIDA, RELATIVE TO THE GENERAL EMPLOYEES’ PENSION PLAN, AMENDING ARTICLE I, IN GENERAL, OF CHAPTER 16, PENSIONS AND RETIREMENT, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES; AMENDING SECTION 16-4, FINANCES AND FUND MANAGEMENT; DELETING SECTION 16-21.3, CREDIT FOR PRIOR SERVICE; AMENDING SECTION 16-21.6, MILITARY SERVICE PRIOR TO EMPLOYMENT; AMENDING SECTION 16-21.7, PRIOR GOVERNMENT SERVICE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Commissioner Selis moved, seconded by Commissioner Partington, to approve Ordinance No. 2004-17, on first reading, as read by title only.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #10(B)(1) - Firefighters’ Pension Trust Fund Amendments

ORDINANCE NO. 2004-18
 AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF ORMOND BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING ARTICLE III, FIREFIGHTERS’ PENSION TRUST FUND, OF CHAPTER 16, PENSIONS AND RETIREMENT, OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES; AMENDING SECTION 16-43, FINANCES AND FUND MANAGEMENT; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Commissioner Kent moved, seconded by Commissioner Boyle, to approve Ordinance No. 2004-18, on first reading, as read by title only.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Boyle	yes
	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #10(B)(2) – Police Officers' Pension Trust Fund Amendments

ORDINANCE NO. 2004-19
AN OF THE CITY OF ORMOND BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING
ARTICLE IV, POLICE OFFICERS' PENSION TRUST FUND, OF
CHAPTER 16, PENSIONS AND RETIREMENT, OF THE CODE
OF ORDINANCES; AMENDING SECTION 16-74, FINANCES
AND FUND MANAGEMENT; REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES IN
CONFLICT HEREWITH; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE
DATE.

Commissioner Selis moved, seconded by Commissioner Partington, to approve Ordinance No. 2004-19, on first reading, as read by title only.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Item #11(A) – Extension of Runway 17-35 at the Ormond Beach Airport

Mr. Lipps advised the Commission was provided an extensive packet of information detailing the history of this project from 1988 to the present. He explained the extension of Runway 17-35 was an economic development project designed to allow corporate traffic to utilize the airport. Mr. Lipps noted the design and environmental assessment process was started in 1988, and the 1998-2000 City Commission was seeking to implement the program accepting grants from DOT; but it was tabled because the local share was considered excessive by the Commission at that time. He reported that when this new Commission was seated, the Commission expressed an interest in considering this project, and staff was directed to reassess the costs and take the issue to the Aviation Advisory Board. Mr. Lipps stated the Aviation Advisory Board's recommendation was to pursue the extension of Runway 17-35 to the north as expeditiously as possible and begin the planning process for the future extension of Runway 8-26 and 17-35 to the south. He added the board also recommended the budget request already presented to the FAA be amended to include funding. Mr. Lipps stated in this year's budget submittals for grants to the FAA and DOT, staff operated on the previous directives of the Commission and did not include this project for funding next year; therefore, if the Commission decided to reverse this decision, staff would meet with the FAA to accelerate this project. He advised the primary reason this project was tabled in 2000 was the cost relative to the sports complex as opposed to the aviation improvements since significant grant funding was available. Mr. Lipps explained that extending Runway 17-35 would require the relocation of the entrance road into the sports complex, relocation or elimination of a soccer field, replacement of parking, and internal circulation would have to be addressed relative to drainage implications. He stated staff provided three scenarios to address these issues. Mr. Lipps noted that since the Commission last considered this item, the federal government was now moving toward a match ratio for the aviation side at 95% federal funds, 2½% State, and 2½% local funds, making it a \$100,000 project for the aviation portion of the project for the City.

Mr. Lipps reported the costs were included in the bond referendum, and many of those involved in recreation would indicate that the improvements would need to be completed regardless of the runway extension.

Mr. Lipps stated this project was not in the City's current budget, and the funding sources have not been determined. He noted the land acquisition for the recreation portion had been budgeted from Recreation Impact Fees and was currently owned by Ormond Crossings; therefore, the feasibility of purchasing or swapping the land would probably be easily accomplished. Mr. Lipps reported the option the Commission would select would relate to whether the Commission would follow their own Land Development Code and if the Commission would implement their Recreation Master Plan.

Mr. Lipps advised staff provided an economic impact analysis of this project on the community, a letter outlining the possible positive impacts from an economic development perspective on jobs in the community, and a letter from a company based at the airport who was relocating solely because they purchased a larger jet requiring a longer runway.

Mr. Lipps explained the airport was currently operating with 80% training traffic; and the intent of this project would be to service a different type of clientele supporting the business sector from an economic development perspective.

Mayor Costello advised some of the issues the Commission should decide was whether the Commission would support a runway extension, whether Runway 17-35 north should be the runway to be extended, and whether the Commission would support any investigation of costs and feasibility of additional runway extensions not currently delineated as noted by the Aviation Advisory Board. He stated that in a discussion at last night's joint Planning Board, Quality of Life Advisory Board, and Recreation Advisory Board meeting, a community stadium was ranked very highly by two of the three boards. Mayor Costello questioned if that would impact any of these proposed extensions.

Commissioner Boyle stated the question of the Commission's vision of the airport was raised in Mr. Lipp's comments relative to attracting a different clientele. He stated that the airport was bordered by two subdivisions, a recreation complex, and the Tomoka River, with an additional residential complex; therefore, he contended that the vision was decided long ago. Commissioner Boyle noted if the runway would be extended, the City would have a large cost in retrofitting the sports complex. He advised the voters sent a clear message in last year's failed bond referendum. Commissioner Boyle stated that without that bond referendum, the City did not have a funding source to retrofit the sports complex. Commissioner Boyle pointed out the City had already poured millions of dollars into this airport in the form of safety improvements, the control tower, and taxiways. He stated the airport had been at the top of the list for years in having its needs addressed. Commissioner Boyle questioned at what cost was the anticipated job creation. He noted it was said that Ormond Crossings would have an easier time marketing its properties if the airport had a jet runway, but this had not been proven to his satisfaction. Commissioner Boyle questioned what negative economic impact this extension would have on the City budget and on the City's other priorities. He questioned why the same planes could not land at the Daytona Beach Airport and the people drive ten minutes to Ormond Beach. Commissioner Boyle stated he understood why the Commission could not indicate the runway could never be extended since it had accepted a great deal of grant money to study and set up the runway extension; and if it should fall out of the five-year plan, the City may need to return some of that funding. He reported he was opposed to this extension previously and was now even more opposed to the extension.

Mayor Costello noted he also previously opposed the extension; however, he now favored the extension because he was convinced it would have an economic development benefit and Stage III aircraft, which this extended runway would accommodate, would be gone from the area faster, and they are quieter, lessening the noise impacts at the airport.

Commissioner Selis advised he favored extending Runway 17-35, noting this project had been in the process since 1988, and the time for this project to move forward was now. He stated over the next ten years the City would realize \$14 million in total economic output, \$2.8 million in total earnings, and 158 additional jobs at a cost to the City of only \$76,600. Commissioner Selis reported the City would be acquiring a runway valued at over \$3 million for this \$76,600 investment; therefore, considering all of these facts, he failed to see how this could be a bad investment for the community. He noted relative to the bond referendum that he did not consider its failure to pass as a mandate regarding moving the soccer fields, which was a completely separate and distinct issue. Commissioner Selis advised that for \$435,000 over a multi-year period, the City could accommodate the Recreation Department while also accommodating the need for additional ingress and egress. He reported that his greater concern was that the City would lose business in Ormond Beach if it would not extend the runway. He pointed out that the City would lose the \$200,000 from the Ginn Corporation for this very reason, and this was not the first time Ormond Beach lost businesses for this reason.

Commissioner Selis reported the airport was in his zone, and noise was an important political topic. He advised because of the 80% training traffic, there was a constant noise from aircraft, and when the runway was extended and other air traffic would come into the airport, there would no longer be training at this airport to the extent that currently existed, which would decrease the noise for the residents in the area because of the type of aircraft that would come into the airport in the future.

Mayor Costello pointed out that the tower would also guide the aircraft in a specific path.

Commissioner Selis stated he was confident this would be a boon for Ormond Crossings; it was something Ormond Beach needed to accomplish; and he would vote in favor of the extension in the next fiscal year budget.

Commissioner Kent stated considering what was occurring in Ormond Beach and at Ormond Crossings, he favored extending the runway. He advised he took the opposing view from Commissioner Boyle relative to aircraft landing in Daytona Beach and driving to Ormond Beach

in that if he were a businessman landing in Daytona Beach, he would also place his business in Daytona Beach rather than Ormond Beach. Commissioner Kent concurred with Commissioner Selis in that there were businesses Ormond Beach had lost in the past due to the shortened runway, noting that there may be many businesses the City had not even heard of because in studying the logistics concerned, the business would eliminate Ormond Beach as a possible relocation site and seek a location with a 5,000 foot runway. He reported this was precisely what Ormond Beach needed. Commissioner Kent advised he spoke of this during his campaign and received a great deal of support.

Commissioner Partington stated he agreed with the reasons articulated by Commissioner Kent, Commissioner Selis, and Mayor Costello for supporting this extension with the added fact that the Aviation Advisory Board unanimously approved the concept. He advised the May 2004 Aircraft Owners Pilots Association magazine highlighted a new wave of aircraft was coming called the "Very Light Jet" that cost less initially and less to maintain; therefore, a great many people flying propeller aircraft would be realizing their dream to own a jet. Commissioner Partington reported this extension fitted perfectly with the Ormond Crossings plan. He explained that people who head corporations fly jets, and the goal was to attract these corporations to Ormond Beach.

Mayor Costello asked if there were any recommendations to extend a different runway other than the 17-35 north runway.

Commissioner Boyle stated he was on this Commission when the City had two to three times more reserve funds than currently existed, and the cost was too great at that time; and now there was almost no reserve money available, but this project was now being move to the highest priority on the needs list. He reported he was still not convinced relative to the operations at the control tower. Commissioner Boyle advised that he understood from his fellow Commission members that the Ormond Crossings corporations would not use the Daytona Beach airport. He pointed out the Aviation Advisory Board members had a personal vested interest in the outcome of this issue. Commissioner Boyle stated his fellow Commission members have indicated that for a great number of corporations there was a very thin margin for a lengthened airport that would accommodate some jets; however, he submitted that the number of companies who may not elect to come to Ormond Beach without a runway extension was infinitesimal. He stated that the figures did not add up. Commissioner Boyle advised his fellow Commission members would cause the City to go without many other needs by continuing to pour millions of dollars into the airport and for recreation, which many people already consider at an imbalance.

Mayor Costello stated the businesses Commissioner Boyle considered infinitesimal were the very businesses Ormond Crossings would be trying to attract.

Commissioner Kent moved to approve the extension of Runway 17-35 north. Commissioner Selis seconded the motion with funding in the FY 2004-05 budget.

Mr. Randal Hayes, City Attorney, asked for clarification that the Commission was referencing a funding mechanism for this fiscal year as opposed to actual construction; whereby, Commissioner Selis concurred this would only reference funding in the FY 2004-05 budget.

Mr. Isaac Turner, City Manager, reported the intent was understood; however, he requested being permitted to provide a schedule. He noted there were other process-related issues that had to be considered. Mr. Turner advised directing staff to expedite this as soon as possible would be preferable.

Commissioner Kent restated his motion to approve the extension of Runway 17-35 north as soon as possible. Commissioner Selis seconded the motion and requested the following be included: "but no later than the end of FY 2005."

Mr. Lipps advised that it would optimistically take a three-year process to obtain federal grants to support this project. He noted the City would be competing against all other airports seeking these grants. Mr. Lipps reported he would promptly meet with the FAA to determine the probability of obtaining a schedule. He advised an additional issue was the recreation side of the equation, which would require some discussion by the Commission.

Mr. Turner requested allowing staff to explain a schedule in a Friday letter and direction was needed relative to the Commission's wishes regarding the recreation aspect. He advised staff would start the process immediately.

Commissioner Kent withdrew his motion.

Commissioner Kent moved, seconded by Commissioner Selis, to approve the extension of Runway 17-35 north as soon as possible.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	no
	Commissioner Kent	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Mayor Costello asked if staff needed direction relative to any other runway extension tonight, or if a decision on this issue could wait.

Mr. Turner asked if the Commission would prefer to hold a joint meeting with the Aviation Advisory Board to review the vision for the airport.

Mayor Costello reported he would welcome a joint meeting.

Commissioner Partington advised he did not see a need for such a meeting since the Aviation Advisory Board had already met and provided its recommendations. He stated the City had already withstood many delays, frustrations, and roadblocks; therefore, he urged this extension be done as soon as possible.

Commissioner Selis expressed agreement.

Mayor Costello reported since he heard no support for a joint meeting, he urged Mr. Turner to inform him individually on this matter. He requested more information as to why the Aviation Advisory Board perceived the need to extend the other runways mentioned earlier.

Mr. Turner advised this was why he recommended the joint meeting, not to discuss Runway 17-35 north, but the other recommendations made by the Aviation Advisory Board. He noted a decision was not needed on this issue tonight.

Commissioner Boyle requested staff's recommendations in the Friday letter relative to where the City would find the funds for this project.

Mr. Ted MacLeod, Assistant City Manager, stated the Aviation Advisory Board was considering the additional runway extensions for the future, after the 17-35 extension was completed.

Mayor Costello explained before he could make a decision on other runway extensions, he needed more information as to the vision of the entire complex, including the recreation area, since this would have an impact his final decision.

Commissioner Partington reported he received an e-mail indicating the Aviation Advisory Board unanimously approved two motions: to extend Runway 17-35 as quickly as possible, and that the funding and plans to extend Runway 8-26 be started as soon as possible.

Mr. Lipps reported that any runway extension was an extremely long-term project; therefore, the intent of the motion was to immediately begin the planning process for an additional extension through the Airport Master Plan process. He explained the issue was that if land would become available, the City should be considering acquiring the land so the City would not have to relocate residences in the future for the runway extensions.

Mr. Turner questioned how the Commission would prefer to address the other runway extensions recommended by the Aviation Advisory Board. He offered the option of either scheduling a joint meeting with the Aviation Advisory Board, including it as an agenda item at a future City Commission meeting, or having staff update the Commission on the long-term vision for the airport and how this issue would integrate with that vision.

Mayor Costello reported he wanted to discuss the overall vision of the airport and the vision of the Recreation Advisory Board on how the Airport Sports Complex would fit into this vision concurrently, either at a workshop or as a discussion item at a City Commission meeting.

Commissioner Partington reported he would prefer it be presented as a discussion item during a Commission meeting.

Commissioner Selis advised he would prefer a discussion item at a Commission meeting followed by a joint meeting, if necessary, with the Aviation Advisory Board. He pointed out this

City Commission – May 18, 2004

would not preclude the Aviation Advisory Board from discussing this item at their meeting separate from the Commission meeting.

Commissioner Kent recommended the Aviation Advisory Board and the Recreation Advisory Board meet jointly, and the Commission speak at their meeting.

Commissioner Boyle reported that these types of discussions should be during a Commission meeting. He pointed out the Aviation Advisory Board had already provided the Commission with its recommendation. Commissioner Boyle noted that since the Recreation Advisory Board would gain new fields and new roads, they would surely approve any runway extensions because of the benefits they would realize. He cautioned that the Commission was losing focus.

Mayor Costello advised the consensus was to have a discussion item after the Recreation Advisory Board and the Aviation Advisory Board have had a joint meeting sharing their master plans.

Mr. Turner reported the Parks and Recreation Master Plan was being completed, and that portion may come to the Commission first.

Mayor Costello recommended this meeting occur before the parks portion was completed because the Recreation Advisory Board needed to consider if a stadium was feasible.

Commissioner Kent concurred with Mayor Costello.

Commissioner Boyle urged allowing staff to organize this aspect for the Commission.

Mayor Costello reiterated the consensus was to organize a joint meeting of the Recreation Advisory Board and the Aviation Advisory Board, followed by a discussion item to the City Commission.

Item #11(B) – Leasing of Future Hangars at the Ormond Beach Airport

Mr. Lipps stated that with the completion of a road and utility project, the City would have additional parcels of land available for leasing at the airport. He noted that over the past years, over 16 individuals have expressed interest in obtaining those ground leases. Mr. Lipps requested the Commission review and approve the process of soliciting proposals from anyone interested in ground leases and developing criterion for evaluating those proposals. He advised this item had been processed through two meetings of the Aviation Advisory Board for their input. Mr. Lipps clarified that this process would endorse proposals for commercial development.

Mayor Costello asked whether there was any opposition to the process recommended regarding the criteria suggested for leasing these properties. He commended staff for the great job and offered his full support.

Commissioner Boyle reported the criteria that was recommended was great, but he questioned why this was being done now and not in the past. He stated an individual came to him six years ago ready to build hangars; but he was told the properties were being held in reserve for another corporation who was not ready to build, and no money was paid to hold the land.

Mr. Lipps advised this criterion was completely new. He reported he was not involved in those decisions years ago, but he believed it was probably done on a “first-come-first-served” basis.

Commissioner Boyle questioned what precipitated this item to be brought to the Commission tonight.

Mr. Lipps reported staff realized there would be considerable interest when these parcels were made available for lease. He advised staff had held up making these parcels available for lease until the road was completed, and this was presented because a procedure was needed to make those decisions.

Commissioner Boyle reported while he was troubled with what previously existed, he was pleased with the recommended procedures.

Commissioner Selis commended Mr. Lipps and the Aviation Advisory Board for the criterion and concurred that it should have existed previously, but he was pleased it was now being recommended. He expressed concern that there were 16 competitors for six leases.

Commissioner Selis noted there was an additional 32-acre parcel available, and he questioned why that parcel was not being developed.

Mr. Lipps advised 16 people have expressed an interest, but they may not all be interested at this time. He noted the cost of constructing the road, making water and sewer available, and providing a taxiway on the 32-acre parcel that was to be leased by USAL would be \$1.3 million. Mr. Lipps stated the City was pursuing grant funding for this infrastructure; however, it would require a significant local match. He recommended pursuing a Master Developer Agreement requesting an upfront contribution from the developer leasing the parcels.

Commissioner Selis asked if there had been any effort made to determine if there were developers interested in contributing to the development of that parcel.

Mr. Lipps advised he has had discussions with developers who had expressed that interest; however, the Commission would have to approve of the process before this could be possible.

Commissioner Selis reported he would prefer the Commission be presented more information on these negotiations in the future.

Mayor Costello advised the consensus was that the Commission supported the recommended proposal.

Item #11(C) – WAV/Ormond Beach Water Plant Expansion

Mr. Ted MacLeod, Assistant City Manager, reported there would be a discussion at tomorrow's WAV meeting relative to the proposal where WAV would join with Ormond Beach to expand the water treatment plant. He noted staff had discussed this proposal at length with Dr. Bruce Mowry, Executive Director, and the City's consultant Charles Voss of Camp Dresser McGee (CDM), and the consensus arrived at by staff was that Ormond Beach may be best served if the City were to proceed with expanding the water treatment plant as proposed by two million gallons per day (mgd), but provide the space in the facility and the underground infrastructure required for four mgd capacity as outlined in Option 2. Mr. MacLeod reported the City had a good source of water and a CUP that the City had been seeking for a number of years; however, which he indicated that he believed in WAV's goals, Ormond Beach must protect the City's residents to provide an adequate supply of water for the future. He noted if this were accomplished, the City could work out a deal with WAV in the future allowing them to purchase the infrastructure.

Mayor Costello reported he would favor Option 2 unless St. Johns River Water Management District would provide a grant to fund the difference between Option 2 and Option 3. He noted this may be a possibility since St. Johns River Water Management District wants Ormond Beach to succeed in Volusia County; therefore, he urged proceeding with Option 2, but attempting to obtain grant money for Option 3.

Commissioner Boyle asked if Dr. Mowry would like to address the Commission.

Dr. Bruce Mowry, WAV Executive Director, stated that WAV was a new organization; it needed to take its first step, and Ormond Beach provided a unique position since it was well on its way to developing this project independently. Dr. Mowry advised WAV was created for alternative water, not the standard water supply. He explained the plant expansion was considered alternative water because it would treat water with a chloride level greater than 250 milligrams per liter, which was a secondary standard for drinking water. Dr. Mowry advised Ormond Beach could do this project independently or ask WAV to be responsible to deliver this project. He stated WAV currently had no assets and did not operate any facilities; and while this would be a great opportunity, Ormond Beach had a responsibility to its citizens. Dr. Mowry advised assurance could be given to Ormond Beach through an agreement with WAV. He stated Ormond Beach could ask WAV to provide the additional funds to take over the plant for the extra two mgd. Dr. Mowry reported a suggestion was made by a WAV board member to wait until the Master Facility Plan was completed in October 2005 before a decision was made to build a project; however, he was certain the report would indicate brackish water in northeast Volusia County. He pointed out Ormond Beach's sister City would be out of water in 2010, and WAV could be asked to fill that requirement. Dr. Mowry advised the most cost effective way to fill that need would probably be through a facility in Ormond Beach. He reported to build such a plant, plans would have to begin now since it would take multiple years to complete such a project. Dr. Mowry noted this could make WAV become mature, responsible, and become the organization it was created to be.

Commissioner Boyle stated he perceived his assignment as Ormond Beach's representative to WAV was to ask for WAV to be a partner for the four mgd plant in Option 3 and use Option 2 as a fallback position. He noted WAV should, at a minimum, reimburse Ormond Beach for the additional \$600,000 to create the option for the additional two mgd plant.

Commissioner Kent expressed confidence in Commissioner Boyle's ability to convince WAV of the wisdom of his proposal. He advised Dr. Mowry that he had the opportunity to fill in for Commissioner Boyle at the WAV meeting last month and explained that some of the board members indicated they were unaware of the brackish saltwater plant proposed in Ormond Beach, but that Dr. Mowry eloquently brought everyone back together. Commissioner Kent stated he appreciated Dr. Mowry's professionalism.

Mayor Costello asked what would be the advantage to Ormond Beach to build the four mgd plant in cooperation with WAV as opposed to building the two mgd plant independently.

Mr. MacLeod reported there would be economy of scale if the City were to build in cooperation with WAV, lowering the cost of the water to Ormond Beach customers by 10%. He stated the disadvantage of the WAV cooperative agreement would be the risk something could go awry with this new entity.

Mayor Costello advised he favors cooperation, but asked if Ormond Beach would be wise to build the four mgd plant expecting Daytona Beach to need the water and having it available for them to purchase at 150% of Ormond Beach cost. He stated if a four mgd plant were to be built, he questioned if it would be best to build the plant alone or with WAV.

Mr. MacLeod explained the original concept was a one mgd expansion that would have met Ormond Beach needs for a number of years; however, because of new legislation, the City decided to proceed with the two mgd expansion. He stated WAV originally was to come up with new sources of water over and above what the cities had in their CUP or their permitted wellfield capacities. Mr. MacLeod advised the two mgd would actually not be new water, it would be existing source water, but the extra two mgd would have to be based on the concept that it would be a new source of water.

Mayor Costello pointed out Ormond Beach could not technically build the four mgd plant independently; whereby, Mr. MacLeod concurred. Mayor Costello reiterated his previous recommendation to support Option 2 unless the funds would be provided for the additional two mgd plant.

Commissioner Selis advised he would support whatever option was possible.

Commissioner Partington moved to support Option 2.

Mayor Costello advised the consensus was to seek Option 2, but try to encourage WAV to provide the money upfront at which point the City would actually be doing Option 3. He pointed out Option 3 would cost \$9 million for the four mgd plant and Ormond Beach would split the costs charging WAV \$4.5 million.

Commissioner Kent seconded the motion.

Mayor Costello asked a vote be called for Option 2.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	yes
	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Commissioner Partington reported he moved support of Option 2 in anticipation of cooperation with WAV since it would protect Ormond Beach while giving full benefit to cooperate with WAV.

Mayor Costello reported this showed Ormond Beach was not merely concerned with Ormond Beach and that Ormond Beach was a leader in cooperation, setting the standard.

Item #11(D) – Status of Fire Station #91 Issues

Mayor Costello asked if there were any objections to permitting staff to secure the land proposed by staff for Fire Station #91.

Commissioner Selis advised he wanted assurances from the seller of the property on South Atlantic Avenue that the character of the building on Granada Boulevard would retain its historic nature. He reported verbal assurances were provided; however, Commissioner Selis requested that a written document accompany this transaction.

Mayor Costello reported he foresaw no problem in obtaining written documentation since the only reason the individual was interested in the property was to retain its historic nature.

Mr. Clay Ervin, Planning Director, stated if the valuations would differ from \$100,000 to \$350,000, staff would precede with the land swap; however, if the cost exceeded \$350,000, staff would come back to the Commission for direction. He reported funding would require a bonding operation, and staff requested the ability to set up the bonding process. Mr. Ervin noted bonding would have to be brought back for Commission approval; however, staff did not wish to start the process if the Commission would not support this process.

Mayor Costello stated that Ormond Beach voted for a bond issue for a fire station; therefore, he was comfortable favoring a fire station since the residents had already showed they felt fire safety was important enough to approve the bond issue.

Commissioner Boyle concurred with Mayor Costello except that he would not make any judgments relative to the previous bond referendum. He stated he considered this should be the top priority in Ormond Beach. Commissioner Boyle reported this was the last piece of land remaining where the City would not have to demolish a historic structure on the peninsula, and considering this individual was willing to step forward to preserve this historic building and help the City with the fire station, it was a great opportunity. He urged this swap take place as soon as possible.

Commissioner Kent stated this was an absolute necessity for the safety and welfare of the residents on the beachside considering the condominiums and hotels on the beach. He concurred that the land exchange should proceed as soon as possible.

Mayor Costello stated if this vote would pass, he requested staff to do what was needed within the parameters outlined and separate the funding issue at this time. He reported that he would not approve a fire station that did not reflect Ormond Beach's theme to be the same as City Hall as opposed to the Police Station, which did not reflect Ormond Beach's theme.

Mr. Hayes advised staff needed to prepare documents for the Commission to vote on; therefore, a vote tonight would be premature, but he recommended providing staff clear direction.

Mayor Costello reported the consensus was to instruct staff to secure the property with a cost no greater than \$350,000.

Mr. Turner reported staff would proceed with the land exchange and proceed with preparing the bond information to bring to the Commission. He advised the bond vote would be for land acquisition costs and the costs for the new fire station.

Mr. Ervin stated the covenants and restrictions would clarify that the building would have to be maintained to be consistent with the Ormond Beach Comprehensive Plan.

Item #11(E) – Update on Ormond/Daytona Utility Service Area Issues

Mr. Hayes advised that at the April 20th workshop Consolidated Tomoka Land Company (CTLC) presented a proposal they believed would resolve the dispute between Daytona Beach and Ormond Beach relative to utility service and related issues. He reported the Commission looked favorably on that proposal, but no vote was taken, and it was not formally accepted. Mr. Hayes reported that since April 20th, he met with Mr. Bob Apgar on May 6th, and the following week he met with Mr. Apgar, Tom Pelham, and the attorney for Daytona Beach where some of the details were discussed. He noted there was a general recognition that preparing contracts and agreements to effectuate the deal would be very difficult, but great creativity was being utilized in the approach to this task. Mr. Hayes stated there may be some components of this deal that would not be judicially enforceable, and the parties may need to rely on voluntary enforcement; therefore, penalty provisions would be added. He advised these documents would take time to prepare, but another meeting was scheduled in the near future. Mr. Hayes reported he prepared a letter for Mayor Costello's signature to be sent to Daytona Beach Mayor Scarlett-Golden, reviewed by Mr. Pelham, indicating the Ormond Beach City Commission held a workshop on April 20th where they looked favorably on the proposal as presented by CTLC and were moving forward with the preparation of those documents, which would come before the Ormond Beach Commission for formal consideration. He stated the Daytona Beach City

Commission would meet tomorrow evening where they may pass a resolution; however, he stated he determined a resolution to be premature at this point. Mr. Hayes noted the letter would be sent to Daytona Beach tomorrow.

Commissioner Boyle stated this Commission had only tentatively endorsed this proposal with no formal vote. He urged that the vote occur in this chamber on a regular meeting agenda with each member of this Commission fully aware of all of the details involved in the agreement. He advised Daytona Beach had a workshop the same night as Ormond Beach's last Commission meeting, and he obtained a tape of that meeting. Commissioner Boyle reported Daytona Beach was planning a resolution to be presented at tomorrow night's meeting. He advised there was much confusion on the part of Mr. McMunn and several members of that Commission as to whether Ormond Beach had taken a formal vote, but communication by the City Manager and City Attorney should clarify this issue.

Commissioner Boyle invited the Commission to listen to the tape of that meeting. He stated Mr. McMunn indicated that one of the requirements would be that Ormond Beach would not be permitted to oppose any development issue in any way such as filing a protest with the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) or with the St. Johns River Water Management District. Commissioner Boyle advised that requirement was not in the staff report or discussed at any public meeting.

Commissioner Boyle stated he had excerpts from the St. Johns River Water Management District staff report for the Daytona Beach CUP application. He noted Daytona Beach's CUP allocation was cut 20%, and it was for only seven years with a notation that they had made negative impacts to wetlands with wetland destruction by both Daytona Beach and CTLC in the recharge areas west of Daytona Beach. Commissioner Boyle reported Daytona Beach was also indicted for their poor conservation of water resources. He noted this report suggested a very marked contrast from what Ormond Beach had been doing for the past ten years. Commissioner Boyle stated that throughout the tape, at no time did Mayor Scarlett-Golden, Commissioner Azama-Edwards, or Commissioner Dwayne Taylor make a negative comment about Ormond Beach; however, there was a great deal of negativity and distrust of Ormond Beach expressed at that workshop. He provided a list of 12 questions and noted he anticipated discussion of those questions in the near future. Commissioner Boyle expressed concern that the Commission was making the most important decision in the history of this City without counsel from Attorney Tom Pelham who the City hired for assistance on this issue, and he urged that before a final decision was made that Mr. Pelham would provide input for the Commission to fully comprehend what Ormond Beach's actual chances would be in court if Daytona Beach should sue Ormond Beach to take away Ormond Beach's water and sewer rights. He advised Ormond Beach's chances in court just increased exponentially with the St. Johns River Water Management District report. Commissioner Boyle stated he hoped his fellow Commission members had an open mind relative to this issue.

Mayor Costello advised he saw the minutes and the comments of the Daytona Beach meeting in question and expressed his opinion that some of the Commissioners attacked Ormond Beach because they may have misinterpreted some of the comments he had made. He stated he did not believe Daytona Beach meant to attack Ormond Beach or that they mistrusted Ormond Beach. Mayor Costello reported his perception was that some of Daytona Beach's staff had the need to "win" as had some of Ormond Beach's staff in the past; however, the process had progressed greatly since the elected bodies were involved. He advised that whatever decision Ormond Beach should make, he urged Ormond Beach not to focus on what Daytona Beach officials may have said "in the heat of battle."

Commissioner Selis stated that as an attorney, when he was in litigation with a client and negotiations reached the point where a settlement was imminent, several dynamics came into play. He noted that inevitably one of those parties would tend to believe they "won," leaving a natural resentment. Commissioner Selis reported at that point he urged his clients to focus on how they feel about the actual settlement. He advised he considered this to be a good deal for Ormond Beach, where Ormond Beach would receive 700 acres on Granada Boulevard that they do not currently possess.

Mayor Costello pointed out Daytona Beach would have 2,300 acres of water and sewer service that they do not currently possess. He stated he thought he remembered a discussion relative to removing the ability to object to development taking place at a meeting.

Commissioner Boyle stated it was possible Mayor Costello remembered that discussion from his one-on-one meetings. He advised he searched all of the meeting minutes trying to find any reference to this. Commissioner Boyle reported Daytona Beach's comments did not bother him, especially when three members of their Commission had made no negative comments. He

explained this was not the issue—the issue was that before any decision and commitment was made, this Commission should hear from the public and from Mr. Pelham, and they should be aware of everything in the agreement. Commissioner Boyle stated he was uncertain if a gag order on Ormond Beach’s police powers was legal, and this was never mentioned until now.

Mr. Hayes reported these types of details would eventually come before the Commission for review; however, he cautioned that staff had a great deal of work before this would come to the Commission for a decision.

Mr. Turner commended Mr. Hayes for the creativity being implemented on this issue.

Item #12 - Reports, Suggestions, Requests

Voting on Discussion Items

Ms. Laura Jones, 59 Amsden Road, advised she had been attending Commission meetings for many years, and until recently, the Commission never made motions and voted on items located in the “Discussion Items” portion of the agenda.

Mayor Costello advised no ordinances or resolutions were passed in discussion items. He explained the Commission was merely providing staff direction to come back with enabling legislation that the Commission could vote on in a future agenda.

Mr. Hayes advised that there was no prohibition to vote on discussion items, and it did occur on a fairly regular basis.

Runway Extension

Mr. Adrian Thompson, 4 Pine Look Pass, stated that as a pilot, he favored the runway extension; however, he advised the Commission made a very bad commercial decision because he could not understand how the City would ever get their money back from this expenditure. He urged Commissioner Selis to consider his prior statement that the runway extension would restrict the operation of the small planes. Mr. Thompson reminded the Commission this was a public airport, and the Commission could not restrict the operation of small planes.

Commissioner Selis clarified he did not mean to imply that the runway extension would restrict the operation of the small planes—what he meant was that the presence of a tower and other types of air traffic coming into the airport would cause the training aircraft to go to other airports.

WAV/Ormond Beach Water Plant Expansion

Commissioner Selis expressed excitement at the prospect of a cooperative effort between Ormond Beach and WAV, and he thanked Commissioner Boyle for his leadership on this issue.

Breakaway Trails

Commissioner Selis commended various staff members who attended several meetings with him over the past several weeks relative to the Breakaway Trails guardhouse issue, particularly Mr. Ervin. He also thanked the Engineering Department for their assistance relative to the water pressure issue at Breakaway Trails. Commissioner Selis stated the City was taking the proper approach in looking for solutions in these instances.

Advanced Warning System for Lighting at City Ball Fields

Commissioner Selis expressed appreciation to Mr. MacLeod and the Lions Club. He explained there has been a need to have an advanced warning system for lighting at City ball fields; however, funding had not been available. Commissioner Selis advised the Lions Club provided a large sum of money to help fund this warning system, and the City would be able to supply the remainder of the money needed to provide this important tool for the safety of the children.

Proclamations

Commissioner Selis reported that while he appreciated proclamations, when an agenda contained numerous proclamations, reading the entire proclamation lengthens the meetings. He stated that in these instances, it may be advisable to summarize the proclamations, which would recognize those receiving the proclamation as well as being time efficient.

Mayor Costello advised he would like to be efficient in limiting the amount of time he must read; however, he also wanted to honor those people being honored by the proclamation and not have them feel diminished in any way.

Commissioner Partington stated some cities have purely ceremonial meetings, which may need to be considered. He advised it was important to take the time to honor the people, reading the full proclamation.

Airport Manager/Grants Writer

Commissioner Partington suggested exploring the concept of hiring a full-time airport manager with aviation experience, a person who graduated from an aeronautical university and who worked at an airport. He noted that lately a number of airport issues had been raised where such an individual could be an asset to handle these very complex issues. Commissioner Partington recommended asking the Aviation Advisory Board if hiring an airport manager would be beneficial to Ormond Beach.

Commissioner Partington recommended hiring a professional grants writer to aggressively seek grants for Ormond Beach. He stated the Commission had to approve a consent agenda item for a grant where Ormond Beach was not receiving any money earlier this evening. Commissioner Partington noted that the grant process should have started two years prior to the eight years of the grant to provide a seamless flow of grant money for projects to benefit the City.

Commissioner Partington stated the Community Development Block Grant issue was mishandled, making the Commission look bad. He reported this problem took \$10,000 away from the Community Development Block Grant funds, which could have assisted the people in that zone who wanted other items such as lighting enhancements. Commissioner Partington advised that if the Commission would determine they could afford it, the money should have come from the General Fund. He stated this mishap put the City in the business of regranteeing grant money, but there was no criterion for this. Commissioner Partington stated this particular organization was chosen out of numerous organizations that provide the same benefit with no direct connection to the target area. He advised this grants writer could work with the United Way and the County on these issues.

Commissioner Partington pointed out the City was not receiving money from the Racing District for Central Park. He noted if a grants writer was working on this, these funds may not have been lost.

Commissioner Boyle stated that the City has a Grants Coordinator; therefore, he assumed Commissioner Partington was referring to hiring an additional grants person, which would cause an entirely separate problem.

Commending Dr. Mowry

Commissioner Boyle thanked Dr. Mowry for sharing with the Commission and wished him success at tomorrow's WAV meeting.

Proclamations

Commissioner Boyle advised he would trust Mayor Costello's judgment as to whether he should read the proclamations in their entirety or not.

Pine Trail Elementary

Commissioner Boyle reported that as deputy mayor in Mayor Costello's absence, he contacted Acting Principal Casey Walker, Pine Trail Elementary School, who was selected as "Principal of the Day." He noted he passed on greetings from the Mayor and Commission. Commissioner Boyle advised Ms. Walker that the Mayor had proclaimed May 13, 2004, as "Teachers of Ormond Beach Day," and he asked her to share that fact with the teachers of Pine Trail Elementary School. He also asked Acting Principal Walker to share with the school that all citizens and students were invited to the City's Memorial Day remembrance celebration.

Airport Issues

Commissioner Boyle reported that he, like Commissioner Partington, had many questions about the airport, but he questioned if the solution was hiring an airport manager. He reported he had asked Mr. Lipps personally and in City Commission meetings, whether the fuel storage by Sunrise Aviation was a safety hazard and if it was in compliance with City, State, and federal codes. Commissioner Boyle advised these questions should not take 60 days to answer since they were simple "yes" or "no" answers. He asked if the Sunrise hangars were being properly maintained. Commissioner Boyle noted he was informed the roof leaked and the steel was corroded. He reported that according to the lease, the hangars revert back to the City when the lease was terminated or the company went out of business. Commissioner Boyle advised that if the hangars must be inspected, he did not want the City to do the inspections, rather an independent inspector should be hired to inform the City of the condition of the hangars. He stated he had been asking for a year if the fuel flow surcharge was 3¢ or 5¢, if Sunrise was

following the correct accounting procedures specified in the lease, if they were paying the prescribed rate, if they were basing it on invoices of their fuel purchases, if the City had the invoices as it should, and if the figure could be calculated off of those invoices. Commissioner Boyle reported he understood that in the last three months there had been no invoices, only checks. He pointed out all he was demanding was what was in the written contract.

Commissioner Boyle questioned if there was a level playing field for all fixed base operators, and he asked the City Attorney to investigate that issue. Commissioner Boyle stated the federal government gave this land to the City in 1959 with the covenant that the City must provide equity; and if there was not equity, the City was at risk that the federal government could take back the airport. He stated the Sunrise address listed for the airport was a good example of the equity question.

Commissioner Boyle stated he learned tonight that his appointee to the Aviation Advisory Board, who assured him he had no conflicts, was a partner in another corporation with one of the fixed base operators at the airport. He stated the types of questions he had just listed were those that the Aviation Advisory Board should be addressing rather than considering million dollar items. Commissioner Boyle requested a complete examination of the exclusivity of rights questions raised by Mr. Thompson.

Proclamations

Commissioner Kent stated that while he would like to see shorter meetings, it was important to read the entire proclamation to afford that person or group the due credit they deserve.

Obtaining Grants

Commissioner Kent reported he shared Commissioner Partington's concern relative to obtaining grants; and while he was aware the City had a Grants Coordinator, this was not her sole job. He concurred that someone who was extremely effective writing grants would be very worthwhile for any organization.

Employee Not Disclosing Information

Commissioner Kent expressed concern that a resident had informed him that he questioned a City staff member about whom his supervisor was, and the employee refused to disclose the information.

Energy Efficiency at Fire Stations

Commissioner Kent noted he received disturbing news that the City fire stations were not energy efficient, causing extremely large electric bills. He urged an energy survey be conducted and problem areas be corrected.

News-Journal Article Relative to Water Use

Commissioner Kent noted the News-Journal article entitled "Cities get water use warning" and quoted the following: "...instead the cities can meet the demand for water for lawn and golf course irrigation by reusing the millions of gallons of reclaimed water and stormwater that are wasted every single day." He requested a report on the status of Zone 2 reuse water. Commissioner Kent pointed out he realized this would not occur immediately; but it was a Commission goal, and he would like an update on any progress that had been made in that regard.

Officer Jim Doggett Award

Commissioner Kent asked Chief Mathieson to inform Officer Jim Doggett he was pleased for Officer Doggett and the Police Department for his receiving the award as a Florida Crime Prevention Environmental Design Practitioner. He noted Officer Doggett completed 64 hours of instruction to receive this award as a group of elite officers in Florida.

Grant Opportunity

Mr. Turner requested that Chief Mathieson be allowed to share a grant opportunity with the Commission and for Chief Baker to share information on training that would be conducted.

Police Chief Larry Mathieson stated that yesterday afternoon he received an e-mail from the Florida Department of Law Enforcement who was a pass-through agency for a Byrne grant. He explained that the Florida Department of Law Enforcement had slightly over \$40,000 available from the FY 2000 Byrne grant and invited agencies interested in applying for those funds to do so within several specific program areas. Chief Mathieson advised that the Ormond Beach Police Department was very interested in applying for those funds for \$40,480 with a \$13,493 required match by the City. He advised the matching funds were available in the confiscated funds, and the funding would be used to enhance the City's current technology program within

the agency, specifically to purchase approximately 20 laptop computers to place in the police vehicles. Chief Mathieson reported these funds would include the first year of air time allowing access to the system. He noted the Commission should have received an e-mail discussing the reoccurring costs for future years, which would be approximately \$850 per computer per year, more specifically \$100 to access the Volusia County Sheriff's Office website information and \$750 for the air time for wireless connection to the system. He explained there would be no cost to the City for the first year, but there would be \$17,000 of reoccurring cost in subsequent budget years. Chief Mathieson advised he considered it very worthwhile for Ormond Beach to pursue this grant. He asked the Commission if this was something the City wished to pursue, because a commitment would have to be made within the next two weeks that the City was pursuing the grant and committed to receiving the funds. Chief Mathieson advised the orders would have to be placed, and the City would be required to have the computers in the City's possession by September 30th to qualify for the grant.

Commissioner Partington clarified that the City was moving in this direction even without the grant to obtain laptop computers, and Chief Mathieson advised that this was correct. He stated his ultimate objective was for every officer to have a laptop computer.

Commissioner Partington asked if Ormond Beach would have the capability to go to a paperless system with these computers.

Chief Mathieson stated this would not be possible yet. He noted funding had been a problem relative to this issue. Chief Mathieson advised staff was evaluating several proposals relative to this system, and they had engaged the services of a consultant from the National Law Enforcement and Correctional Technology Center who was assisting the City with a needs assessment relative to a paperless system free of charge even though the Commission allocated \$50,000 to hire a consultant. He reported a recommendation would be made in the next budget year, if not sooner, for the purchase of an integrated system that would incorporate that particular component.

Commissioner Partington advised he supported this request and would support his position that the City should more aggressively pursue these grants, allocate funds, and then select the grants most beneficial to the City.

Commissioner Boyle stated he objected to this request procedurally. He stated there should be a staff report, and the item should be properly placed on the agenda. He questioned that staff was first notified about this yesterday.

Chief Mathieson responded that he was actually just notified yesterday.

Commissioner Boyle noted that even if staff was just notified yesterday, it must be placed on an agenda even if it takes a special meeting, and he was not prepared to make a decision with only a verbal report. He stated the City had a procedure that should be followed.

Mr. Turner stated this grant was brought forward in this fashion because he did not want the opportunity to escape before a City Commission meeting occurred, and he did not consider calling a special meeting solely for this item; but if that was the wish of the Commission, it could be scheduled. He advised staff's goal was to inform the Commission, as it had done in the past, of an opportunity that just occurred; and he had sent out e-mails to that effect. Mr. Turner reported once authorization to pursue the grant was provided, the item would come to the Commission on an agenda item for a vote.

Commissioner Boyle questioned if it was normal procedure to present a grant with only a few days for the Commission to make a decision; whereby, Mr. Turner advised this was not the normal grant opportunity as they are normally scheduled in advance, and this was a special opportunity.

Commissioner Boyle stated four Commission members can vote to waive the Thompson Rule and vote on this item tonight, but the proper procedure must be followed.

Mr. Hayes advised a motion was needed to suspend the Thompson Rule first; and if that should pass with a four-fifths vote, then a motion would be needed to accept Chief Mathieson's proposal.

Mayor Costello reported absent a four-fifths vote, the Commission could ask the City Manager to call a special meeting.

Mr. Hayes reiterated the first step would be to pass a motion to consider this as an emergency item, which would require a four-fifths vote.

Commissioner Partington moved, seconded by Commissioner Kent, to suspend the Thompson Rule and consider applying for the aforementioned grant.

Commissioner Selis stated he did not see this as an emergency even though he would favor the motion to pursue the grant.

Mayor Costello asked when staff would have to accept or reject an application for this grant.

Chief Mathieson reported a decision would be made by the granting agency within the next seven to ten days.

Mayor Costello advised that this would be discussed tonight, a special meeting would be called, or the funding would be lost.

Chief Mathieson reported another option would be to bring it before the Commission at the next regular meeting and inform the granting agency in the interim that the Commission needed the additional time; however, the City would run the risk that the granting agency would then give the money to someone else. He advised that even if the Commission approved this tonight, it would have to come back to the Commission for them to accept the grant.

Mr. Turner advised that staff preferred to inform the Commission of such opportunities before making the application. He stated he determined that since the opportunity just arose, he would take this opportunity to inform the Commission and receive input on this issue.

Commissioner Selis stated he believed this could have been discovered earlier. He concurred with Commissioner Partington that this was more evidence that the Grants Coordinator was either not doing her job very well or needed assistance or a combination of both.

Commissioner Kent stated the uncomfortable portions of this meeting have all been in reference to grants. He agreed there was a problem. Commissioner Kent reported the reason he had more comfort in supporting Chief Mathieson's request, even though he agreed it was not following proper protocol, was that this Commission was elected to "think on their feet," and he did not want to lose the opportunity to same money for the City.

Chief Mathieson suggested the Commission give approval to pursue the grant with the caveat that it had to be brought back to the next regularly scheduled meeting for a final vote. He stated pursuit of the grant and approval were separate issues.

Commissioner Boyle reported he saw no distinction between pursuit and approval. He stated the grants had to be found more than 15 or 20 days prior to the deadline.

Mayor Costello clarified that this grant was not available before Monday; the City could not have applied for the grant before Monday; and this was a totally new grant, which had nothing to do with an inadequate grant writer or staff not providing appropriate notice. He stated it had everything to do with the fact that someone knew Ormond Beach was interested in laptops for the Police Department. He stated money became available, and the opportunity to receive the money became available. Mayor Costello advised he considered this an emergency situation since the City had only ten days before the granting agency would make their decision, whichever way the Ormond Beach City Commission decided tonight. Mayor Costello reported he was proud Ormond Beach was in a position that the granting agency came to the City because they knew Ormond Beach was interested in this project and that Ormond Beach had an accredited State Police Department. He stated he felt the Commission should be giving staff kudos rather than complaints, and they should not try to fault the grant writer for not applying for a grant that did not exist before Monday.

Commissioner Selis asked if this grant just became available yesterday.

Chief Mathieson reiterated that an e-mail was first sent Statewide relative to this grant yesterday. He explained these were essentially leftover funds that were not claimed by other agencies four years ago. Chief Mathieson reported the granting agency wanted to take these funds off their books, which was the reason for the tight time frame.

Commissioner Selis amended the motion to waive the Thompson Rule solely for the purpose of giving direction but not for obtaining the grant.

Mayor Costello advised it would not be necessary to waive the Thompson Rule to give direction since the Commission would not be providing acceptance of the grant.

Commissioner Boyle explained his point was that if a grant was not found in time to place it on an agenda, it should not be brought to the Commission. He pointed out the City would have to expend taxpayers' money to match the grant without an advertised item on an agenda where citizen comment could be heard.

Mayor Costello advised that to overturn the Thompson Rule, the Commission would have to determine that the item would be an emergency and the Commission could justify that to the citizens.

Mr. Turner explained he brought this to the Commission's attention now rather than pursuing the grant, possibly having it accepted, and then coming to the Commission for approval of the grant later.

Commissioner Boyle reiterated he resented Mr. Turner bringing these types of items at the end of a meeting without a staff report. He stated he needed to thoroughly read the information, determine the impact on the budget, understand the issue, and determine if the item was really needed before he could make any decision.

Call Vote to Waive the Thompson Rule:	Commissioner Boyle	no
	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	no
	Commissioner Partington	yes
Failed.	Mayor Costello	yes

Commissioner Selis moved to give direction to staff to pursue the grant subject to approval at the next meeting.

Mr. Hayes advised this was not technically a legitimate motion under the Thompson Rule.

Commissioner Selis moved, seconded by Commissioner Partington, to waive the Thompson Rule for the sole purpose of considering a motion to give staff direction to pursue the grant subject to formal approval at the next meeting.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Kent	yes
	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	no
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Commissioner Selis moved, seconded by Commissioner Kent, to direct staff to pursue the grant subject to formal approval at the next meeting with due public notice.

Call Vote:	Commissioner Selis	yes
	Commissioner Partington	yes
	Commissioner Boyle	no
	Commissioner Kent	yes
Carried.	Mayor Costello	yes

Chief Mathieson advised the Commission that staff had applied for the Byrne grant in the past two cycles; however, funding was cut because it was moved from grants to Homeland Security and the priority had been to fund grants with existing programs.

Statewide Regional Domestic Task Force Security Drill

Fire Chief Barry Baker advised that Central Florida would have three major security drills tomorrow, and one of those drills would be on International Speedway Boulevard in Daytona Beach. He noted a number of emergency apparatus and police vehicles would participate in this Statewide Regional Domestic Taskforce security drill.

Ormond Crossings Workshop

Mr. Turner thanked the Commission for the work done at the Ormond Crossings workshop. He noted this was at a critical point, and there were awkward components to the issue.

Budget Review Hearings

Mr. Turner thanked the Commission for allowing staff the time to work through the budget review hearings that took place last week.

Airport Issues

Mr. Turner stated the airport had numerous issues that would exist with or without a full-time airport manager until they are resolved in some manner. He noted there were conflicts between people doing business at the airport. Mr. Turner pointed out staff had 30 to 40 questions relative to the airport this week and 20 questions last week directed at one particular entity. He stated in an attempt to answer questions, the Fire Chief took the initiative to provide an overall fire inspection of everything at the airport and not merely answer the questions relative to this one entity. Mr. Turner reported an answer to these questions was not merely a “yes” or “no” answer—the answers were more complicated because consideration had to be given to when the structure was built, what the codes were when the structure was built, and other issues. He advised staff would provide complete answers as quickly as possible.

Mr. Turner advised the various Commission members have differing ideas relative to the airport which may and may not be unanimous. He noted the Aviation Advisory Board had people with aviation background who also had visions of what the airport should ultimately be; however, this airport has an Airport Master Plan. Mr. Turner urged the Commission to discuss the vision for the airport and review the history of how the FBOs were operating on the site with or without the Aviation Advisory Board at a workshop.

Grants

Mr. Turner stated he would soon ask the Commission to clearly state their grants concerns and expectations. He advised there seemed to be a perception that there were numerous grants available that the City was missing. Mr. Turner advised he was aware there was a problem with the method in which the grant discussed this evening was handled, and staff would examine this process; however, the expectations had to be discussed and clearly understood to determine if these expectations were realistically achievable.

Additional Runway Extensions

Mr. Turner stated the Commission discussed how to approach the additional runway extensions recommended by the Aviation Advisory Board. He reported a survey was being prepared on the parks needs as part of the Recreation Master Plan that would be completed by late May or June and would provide unbiased data. Mr. Turner stated the goals of the joint Planning Board, Quality of Life Advisory Board, and Recreation Advisory Board were to maintain the facilities the City currently possessed, to conduct a fair survey, to recommend what types of improvements were needed, and to recommend funding. He advised the next joint meeting of the Planning Board, Quality of Life Advisory Board, and Recreation Advisory Board should include the Aviation Advisory Board to fold in issues relative to the airport complex. Mr. Turner reported this would help determine if the recreational needs fit at the airport sports complex. He stated the stadium issue was not discussed by the Commission; therefore, he had not asked staff to pursue that issue. Mr. Turner urged the Commission to include their issues in the Master Plan or the Capital Improvement Program projects. Mr. Turner advised Ormond Crossings may also play a part in the need for recreational space.

Proclamation

Mayor Costello thanked staff for researching the information to prepare the proclamations, making them more personal for the recipients. He advised many more proclamations are prepared than are presented at City Commission meetings. Mayor Costello stated he would continue to read the entire proclamation in the future.

No Smoking Ordinance

Mayor Costello requested staff to research the City of Longwood “no smoking” ordinance that was recently passed.

Pineland

Mayor Costello reported at some time he would like to know why Item #9, relative to Pineland, was pulled and then the applicant reapplied.

Stadium

Mayor Costello stated he had discussed a stadium and had wanted to know if the concept had support. He advised that out of the Planning Board, Recreation Advisory Board, and Quality of Life Advisory Board joint meeting, it was discovered that two boards considered the stadium ranked number one and number two and the third group encouraged discussion but did not rank it because they did not do a ranking. Mayor Costello noted some of the community leaders supported investigating the possibility of a stadium. He clarified what he was proposing was that the School Board provide money for the site, Seabreeze High School participate with the City, private entities participate with the City, impact fee funds be used, and the stadium be considered in conjunction with the other recreational needs.

Bond Failure

Mayor Costello explained he did not believe the bond issues failed because the residents did not want the projects done, but because they did not want maintenance done with bond money.

Energy Audits

Mayor Costello advised that Florida Power and Light performs energy audits, and he recommended seeking their assistance to determine the need to save on energy costs at the fire stations.

Grants

Mayor Costello expressed pride in staff's efforts relative to grants. He stated if an opportunity arose on Monday to obtain four-year-old funds for a project the City was already working on, he wanted staff to bring that opportunity the Commission's attention. Mayor Costello advised had the City not already been working of obtaining laptop computers, he would not have favored seeking the grant; but this was a project in which Ormond Beach already had an interest. He stated the Commission needed to set a policy on what staff should do under similar circumstances in the future.

Airport Manager

Mayor Costello advised Mr. Turner accurately summarized the issues at the airport in that many of the issues were issues between certain individuals, even though some were valid and should be investigated; however, he asserted that having a full-time airport manager would not have made a difference. He stated that Mr. Lipps was doing an outstanding job.

Grants Writer

Mayor Costello advised it was stated that Ormond Beach was lax because they were not receiving ECHO grants; however, the Commission made a conscious decision not to apply for ECHO grants because they did not have the matching funds necessary, and this had nothing to do with the fact the City did not have a grants writer. He urged staff to provide information as to what a grants writer could do and could not do that was not currently being done, and what grants were available that the City was not applying for that it could be applying for. Mayor Costello advised he felt good about what the City was doing about grants as compared to other communities. He noted it was a fact that grants were drying up. Mayor Costello complimented staff on their efforts in this regard.

Homeless Coalition

Mayor Costello reported he concurred with Commissioner Partington that the \$10,000 should have come from the General Fund for the Homeless Coalition, and in the future, CDBG funds may or may not be appropriate for this use. He reported the reason the funds come to the County and then to the City was because the population was not over 50,000. Mayor Costello reported if Ormond Beach's population were over 50,000, the funds would come directly to the City. He stated the Homeless Coalition was doing an outstanding job of making it better for the target area where a significant number of homeless people had lived, which was why he considered the funding appropriate.

Item #13 – Close the Meeting

The meeting was adjourned at 10:16 p.m.

APPROVED: _____ June 1, 2004

BY: _____
Fred Costello, Mayor

ATTEST:

Veronica Patterson, City Clerk